



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/914,148	01/18/2002	Mitchell Curtis Green	GRIFF-40169	1758
26252	7590 09/21/2005		EXAMINER	
KELLY LOWRY & KELLEY, LLP			FISCHETTI, JOSEPH A	
6320 CANOG SUITE 1650	A AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WOODLAND HILLS, CA 91367			3627	

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Notice of Abandonment	Notice of Abandonment 09/914,148 GREE			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Joseph A. Fischetti	3627		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress	
This application is abandoned in view of:	•			
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on	lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	·		
		• •	•	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of	or (3) a timely filed I	aces tne Request for	
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).				
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.				
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8:	5).			
<ul> <li>(a)           The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ul>	received on (with a Certification for payment of the issue fee (an	ate of Mailing or Tr ad publication fee) s	ansmission dated et in the Notice of	
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	of \$ is due.			
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	he publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$	·	
(c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	t been received.			
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>	ired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the No	tice of	
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated	), which is	
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.				
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	attorney or agent of record, the assi	gnee of the entire i	nterest, or all of	
5 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a representation	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR	
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim	ence rendered on and becausens.	e the period for see	king court review	
7. The reason(s) below:				
		JOSEPH A. FIS PRIMARY EX JOSEPH A. Fische Primary Examine	tti	
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdray	w the holding of shandonment under 27.6	Art Unit: 3627	promptly filed a	

4